

**From:** [redacted] [redacted]@nhs.scot>  
**Sent:** 16 June 2022 12:43  
**To:** nss communications <[redacted]@nhs.scot>; [redacted]  
[redacted]@nhs.scot>  
**Cc:** [redacted] [redacted]@nhs.scot>  
**Subject:** Re: "Media: MailOnline: NGICNS Eunuch post"

Hi [redacted]

You are correct, the NGICNS site did not post guidance, and did not post comment on the draft chapter from the WPATH v 8 chapter. There is no alignment with the WPATH and the document was listed as one of the draft chapters of the WPATH.


We need to very firmly state that this draft chapter document was: not shared as guidance, was not contributed to by NGICNS or NHS Scotland, and was not commented on by NGICNS or NHS S.

[redacted]

--

[redacted] ([redacted])  
Tel: [redacted]  
PA: [redacted] | [redacted]@nhs.scot & [redacted] | [redacted]@nhs.scot

[redacted]  
NHS Scotland Procurement, Commissioning and Facilities  
NHS National Services Scotland | Gyle Square | 1 South Gyle Crescent | Edinburgh | EH12 9EB

Hybrid Working: Office: 1 South Gyle Tuesdays & Wednesdays |  [Call me on Teams](#)

---

**From:** nss communications <[redacted]@nhs.scot>  
**Sent:** 16 June 2022 12:28  
**To:** [redacted] [redacted]@nhs.scot>; nss communications  
<[redacted]@nhs.scot>  
**Cc:** [redacted] [redacted]@nhs.scot>; [redacted] [redacted]@nhs.scot>  
**Subject:** RE: "Media: MailOnline: NGICNS Eunuch post"

Hi [redacted] [redacted]

Regarding this enquiry -

"The article says that the service posted guidance saying Eunuch should be considered its own gender identity. The article carries criticism from groups who say they it is disappointing that the service should align with people pushing 'eunuch identity' owing to it being a 'barbaric practice'."

Without sight of the website and the information int, I assume the "service" is NGICNS, and the "guidance" is the draft document that was submitted by an external source to WPATH. So am I correct in thinking that this is a misunderstanding of what the document represented - it was a draft that was part of a public consultation run by an external body to NHS Scotland, and entirely separate to any guidance because it doesn't form part of the national standards of care document.

Happy to have a call regarding this if easier.

Best

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@nhs.scot>  
Sent: 16 June 2022 11:20  
To: nss communications <[REDACTED]@nhs.scot>  
Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@nhs.scot>  
Subject: RE: "Media: MailOnline: NGICNS Eunuch post"

Hi [REDACTED]

This is an issue that needs the support of our coms department but [REDACTED] is preparing a response to SG which will include our immediate containment measures and action plan to manage this event which we will share with you, for coms to draft a media response which we will gladly work with you to do.

kind regards [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
National Specialist Services and Screening Division NHS National Services Scotland

PA: [REDACTED] – [REDACTED]@nhs.scot

Gyle Square  
1 South Gyle Crescent  
Edinburgh EH12 9EB

M: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]@nhs.scot

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: nss communications <[REDACTED]@nhs.scot>  
Sent: 16 June 2022 10:48  
To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]@nhs.scot>  
Subject: FW: "Media: MailOnline: NGICNS Eunuch post"

Hi [REDACTED]

We have received another media enquiry about the Eunuch article from the Mail Online (see below)

Can you please provide a comment for the journalist? Or we can use the response you copied us in to last night if that's suitable

Thank you,



Thanks,

█

--

This e-mail was sent from a contact form

on

█